

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA §
§
vs. § NO: AU:25-CR-00162(1)-ADA
§
(1) PABLO OSWALDO MARTINEZ-SADA §

ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION .
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Before the court is the above styled and numbered cause. On March 20, 2025 the United States Probation Office filed a Petition For Warrant or Summons For Offender Under Supervision for Defendant (1) PABLO OSWALDO MARTINEZ-SADA, which alleged that Martinez-Sada violated a condition of his supervised release and recommended that Martinez-Sada 's supervised release be revoked (Clerk's Document No. 5). A warrant issued and Martinez-Sada was arrested. On June 11, 2025, Martinez-Sada appeared before a United States Magistrate Judge, was ordered detained, and a revocation of supervised release hearing was set.

Martinez-Sada appeared before the magistrate judge on June 23, 2025, waived his right to a preliminary hearing and to be present before the United States District Judge at the time of modification of sentence, and consented to allocution before the magistrate judge. Following the hearing, the magistrate judge signed his report and recommendation on June 23, 2025, which provides that having carefully considered all of the arguments and evidence presented by the Government and Defendant, based on the

original offense and the intervening conduct of Martinez-Sada, the magistrate judge recommends that this court revoke Martinez-Sada supervised release and that Martinez-Sada be sentenced to imprisonment for FOUR (4) months, with no term supervised release to follow the term of imprisonment, which shall be served concurrently with the sentence imposed in defendant's pending federal case, case number 1:25-CR-74(1) (Clerk's Document No. 18).

A party may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation, and thereby secure a *de novo* review by the district court. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). A party's failure to timely file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation in a report and recommendation bars that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court. *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*). The parties in this cause were properly notified of the consequences of a failure to file objections.

On June 23, 2025, following the hearing on the motion to revoke supervised release, all parties signed a Waiver Of Fourteen Day Rule For Filing Objections To Report and Recommendation Of United States Magistrate Judge (Clerk's Document No. 17). The court, having reviewed the entire record and finding no plain error, accepts

and adopts the report and recommendation filed in this cause.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge filed in this cause (Clerk's Document No. 18) is hereby ACCEPTED AND ADOPTED by this court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant (1) PABLO OSWALDO MARTINEZ-SADA's term of supervised release is hereby REVOKED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant (1) PABLO OSWALDO MARTINEZ-SADA be imprisoned for FOUR (4) months with no term of supervised release, which shall be served concurrently with the sentence imposed in defendant's pending federal case, case number 1:25-CR-74(1).

Signed this 26th day of June, 2025.



ALAN D ALBRIGHT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE